Received by ClientEarth via access to document request December 2010

MS REACH Reporting Questionnaire

General Information		
Which Member State are you reporting for?	RO	
What reporting period are you reporting on?	2010	
Primary contact person's name.	Luminita Tirchila, Mariana Mihalcea Udrea,Mihaela Albulescu	
Please provide an email address for the primary contact person.	luminita.tirchila@mmediu.ro, m.mihalcea@anpm.ro,mihaela.albulescu@gmail.com	

Theme 1 - Information on the Competent Authority		
How many Competent Authorities are responsible for	There is more than one Competent Authority responsible	
REACH?	for REACH.	

More than one Competent Authority Responsible for REACH

First Compet	ent Authority
What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	Ministry of Environment and Forests
What is the address of the organisation?	12, Libertatii blvd., 5th district, Bucharest
What is the email address of the organisation?	www.mmediu.ro; srp@mmediu.ro
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	+4 021 316 02 43 ; +4 021 316 02 15
What is the fax number of the organisation?	+4 021 317 40 70; +4 021 316 61 38
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent Authority deal with?	CLP Other (please list)
Please list the other parts of REACH that this part of the Competent Authority deals with here.	Coordination of activities related to REACH and CLP
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Environment
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes
What skills do staff in this part of the Competent	Chemistry
Authority have?	Ecotoxicity
	Legal
	Policy
	CLP
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the	Import/Export
REACH CA involved in?	Biocides
	Pesticides
	Other
If Other, please list the different legislation here	fertilisers Regulation EC no. 2003/2003

Second Competent Authority

What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	National Environmental Protection Agency- NEPA	
What is the address of the organisation?	Splaiul Independentei nr.294, 6th district, Bucharest , postal code 060031	
What is the email address of the organisation?	www.anpm.ro; serviciul_chimicale@anpm.ro	
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	+4021 207 11 01, +4 021 202 47 91	
What is the fax number of the organisation?	+4021 207 11 03; +4021 207 11 54	
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent Authority deal with?	Evaluation Restriction Helpdesk CLP Risk Assessment	
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Environment	
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes	
What skills do staff in this part of the Competent	Chemistry	
Authority have?	Toxicology	
	Ecotoxicity	
	Legal	
	Exposure	
	CLP	
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the	Import/Export	
REACH CA involved in?	Biocides	
	Pesticides	
	Other	
f Other, please list the different legislation here	POPs, F+gases	
Are there any more Competent Authorities responsible for REACH?	Yes	

Third Competent Authority		
What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	The National Environmental Guard	
What is the address of the organisation?	78, Unirii Ave, Bl. J2, District 3, Bucharest	
What is the email address of the organisation?	gardamediu@gnm.ro	
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	+ 4021 326 89 70	
What is the fax number of the organisation?	+ 4021 326 89 71	
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent	CLP	
Authority deal with?	Other (please list)	
Please list the other parts of REACH that this part of the Competent Authority deals with here.	Enforcement of REACH and CLP	
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Environment	
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes	

What skills do staff in this part of the Competent Authority have?	Chemistry Toxicology Enforcement CLP
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the REACH CA involved in?	Import/Export Biocides Pesticides Other
If Other, please list the different legislation here	SEVESO Directive, GLP, waste , pollution control (POPs
Are there any more Competent Authorities responsible for REACH?	No

	Communication with other Member States, the ((ECHA) and the Commission
How effective is communication between MS for REACH?	7
How could effectiveness of communication between MS be improved?	The effectiveness of communication between MS will be improved when an electronic system for information exchange regarding REACH inspections will be functional.
How effective is collaboration between MS for REACH?	7
How could effectiveness of collaboration between MS be improved?	Forums for information exchange on REACH implementation. To be seen the diversity of penalties under REACH, for example. And also the need for collaboration for substance proposals as SVHC.
Are there any special projects/cooperation on chemicals that the MS participates in with other MS outside of REACH?	Yes
Please provide further information.	POPs management, BAT forums Bilateral cooperation, Hungarian Romanian Joint Commission on Environment Protection, 2009 TAIEX projects on detergents, F-gases, mercury, VOC and CLP
How effective is MS communication with ECHA?	7
How could effectiveness of communication with ECHA be improved?	The effectiveness of communication will be improved when the MSCAs will have access to ECHA electronic tools for information exchange.
How effective is MS collaboration with ECHA?	8
How could effectiveness of collaboration with ECHA be improved?	Training courses in relevant areas could be useful
How effective is MS communication with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	8
How could effectiveness of communication with the Commission be improved?	
How effective is MS collaboration with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	8

How could effectiveness of collaboration with the Commission be improved?

Has use been made of the safeguard clause of REACH No (Art. 129)?

Theme 3 - Operation of the National Helpdesk and Provision of Communication to the Public of Information on Risks of Substances

Please provide the name of the organisation responsible National Environmental Protection Agency- NEPA for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH.

What is the address of the Helpdesk?	Splaiul Independentei nr.294, 6th district, Bucharest , postal code 060031
What is the web page address of the Helpdesk?	http://reach.anpm.ro
What is the email address of the Helpdesk?	http://reach.anpm.ro/helpdesk.aspx
What is the telephone number of the Helpdesk?	+4021 212 5052
What is the fax number of the Helpdesk?	+4021 316 7996
Are there any more organisations responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH?	No

Please indicate the number of each type	of staff that are involved in the Helpdesk.
Toxicologist	
Ecotoxicologist	
Chemist	1-5
Risk Assessor	
Economist	
Social Scientist	
Exposure Assessor	
Other (please list)	1-5
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in the Helpdesk, please list the type of staff here.	Geologist, administrative science
Is the same Helpdesk used to provide help to Industry on CLP?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk receive any non-governmental support?	No
How many enquiries does the Helpdesk receive per year?	101-1000
In what format can enquiries be received by the Helpdesk?	Email Phone Fax Other (please list)
Please list the other format(s) of enquiries that can be received by the Helpdesk.	Office consultations, organised meetings or specific activities as workshops and conferences.
How are the majority of enquiries received?	Email
Do you provide specific advice to SME's?	Yes
Who are the majority of enquiries from?	Medium enterprises

What type of enquiries does the Helpdesk receive?	Pre-registration
	SIEFs
	Registration
	REACH-IT
	Evaluation
	IUCLID5
	Authorisation
	Downstream user obligations
	Restriction
	Obligations regarding articles
	Testing
	Safety Data Sheets
	Enforcement
	SVHC
	CSR preparation
	Other (please list)
	CLP

Please list the other types of enquiries that the Helpdesk General information on REACH, training opportunities receives.

For each type of enquiry received, please provide the proportion in percentage of the total enquiries.		
Pre-registration (%)	0	
Registration (%)	0	
Evaluation (%)	0	
Authorisation (%)	0	
Restriction (%)	0	
Testing (%)	0	
Enforcement (%)	0	
CSR preparation (%)	0	
CLP (%)	0	
SIEFs (%)	0	
REACH-IT (%)	0	
IUCLID5 (%)	0	
Downstream user obligations (%)	0	
Obligations regarding articles (%)	0	
Safety Data Sheets (%)	0	
SVHC (%)	0	
Other (%)	19,8 (%)	

What proportion of enquiries received are deemed to be 1) straight forward, 2) complex, OR No information		
Straight forward (%).	0	
Complex (%).	0	
No information (%).	0	

How long, on ave	erage, does it take to respond to the following types of questions?
Straight forward questions	3 days

Complex questions	1 week
Are any types of enquiry outsourced?	No
Does the Helpdesk seek feedback on its performance?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk review its performance and consider ways to improve its effectiveness?	Yes

What level of cooperation	is there between Helpdesks?
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks under REHCORN?	4
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks outside REHCORN?	3
How frequently do you use RHEP?	Daily
Has the MS carried out any specific public awarness raising activities?	Yes
What type of activities have been carried out?	Television Telephone Newspaper Leaflets Radio Other (please list) Speaking events
Please list the other types of activities that have been carried out.	Video conferences, e-mail notifications

How effective was	each type of activity?
Television	3
Newspaper	3
Radio	3
Speaking events	4
Telephone	4
Leaflets	5
Other	5
Do you have a REACH webpage/website?	Yes
Do you have a single webpage for REACH or multiple pages?	Multiple webpages
How frequently is the REACH webpage visited (per month)?	101-500
Please describe the scope of the number of REACH webpage visits.	For information and link accessing helpdesk

Theme 4 - Information on the Promotion of the Development, Evaluation and Use of Alternative Test Methods

Does the MS contribute to EU and/or OECD work on the Yes development and validation of alternative test methods by participating in relevant committees?

What has been the overall public funding on research and No information development of alternative testing in your MS each year?

Theme 5 - Information on Participation in REACH Committees (FORUM, MS, RAC, SEAC, CARACAL, PEG, RCN, REHCORN)

On a scale of 1-10, how effective do you think the work of the Committees associated with REACH are?	7
How could the effectiveness of the Committees be improved?	The effectiveness of the Committees is affected by the lack of human resources at MS level. For instance, some of the FORUM projects have to be postponed due to this reason. Improvement of cooperation between MS competent authorithies is also important for the effectiveness of the Committees.

Theme 6 - Information on Substance Evaluation Activities

2010	0 Rep	orting
------	-------	--------

Please name the organisations/institutions that are we are not officially involved yet. involved in the evaluation process.

Please indicate the number of each type of	staff that are involved in substance evaluation.
Toxicologist	0
Ecotoxicologist	0
Chemist	1-5
Risk Assessor	1-5
Socio-Economic Analyst	0
Exposure Assessor	0
Other (please list)	0
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in substance evaluation, please li the type of staff here.	ist
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has commented upon.	
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers where a draft decision has been made.	

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has rapporteured.	
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has completed.	
How long, on average, does evaluation of a dossier take?	
How many transitional dossiers has the MS completed?	
How many substances has the MS added to the Community Rolling Action Plan?	
How many of ECHA's draft decisions on dossier evaluation has the MS commented on?	

has the MS commented on?

Theme 7 - Annex XV Dossiers

How many of each type o	f dossier has the MS prepared?
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up your MS dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments of how reasonable the time spent following up your MS dossiers was.	n

How many of each type	of dossier are rapporteured?
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments of how reasonable the time spent following up your rapporteured dossiers was.	n

How many of each type of	dossier are co-rapporteured?
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up co-rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1

Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your corapporteured dossiers was.

How many dossiers prepare	d by other MS has the MS contributed to or commented upon	n?
CLP	0	
Restriction	0	
Identification of SVHC	0	

How many dossiers prepared by ECHA has the MS contributed to or commented upon?	
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0

What expertise is available for preparing dossiers?	
Chemist	0
Toxicologist	0
Ecotoxicologist	0
Economist	0
Enforcement	0
Legal	0
Policy	0
Exposure	0
CLP	0
Other (please list)	0
If you have specified that there is other expertise is available for preparing CLH dossiers, please provide details here.	
Is the MS able to access external specialists?	Yes
What types of external specialists does the MS have access to?	toxicologist, ecotoxicologist
Is the MS satisfied with the levels of access to expertise?	3
Has there been any industry involvement in the preparation of MS dossiers?	No

Theme 8 - Information on Enforcement Activities

General Information		
Please enter the MAIN enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State.	National Environmental Guard	
Is there more than one enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State?	No	
Enforcem	ent Strategy	
Has an overall strategy (or strategies) been devised and implemented for the enforcement of REACH?	No	
If No, are there any plans for making an enforcement strategy (or strategies)?	Yes	
Comments	The enforcement strategy will be in line with the strategy established by the FORUM.	
Co-ordination co-operation	and exchange of information	
Please outline of the mechanisms put in place to ensure good cooperation, coordination and exchange of information on REACH enforcement between enforcing authorities and the Competent Authority.	 The Ministry of Environment and Forests assures the coordination between the National Environmental Guard (the enforcement authority) and The National Environment Protection Agency (the Competent Authority). There are common meetings for information exchange between the authorities. The enforcement authority has access to the web site of CA. 	
Describe how these mechanisms have operated in practice during the reporting period (e.g. regular meetings, joint training, joint inspections, co-ordinated projects and so on).	• Till January 2008 it was a single Competent Authority for REACH, responsible both for implementation and for enforcement: The National Agency for Dangerous Substances and Preparations and internal mechanisms assured the cooperation and the coordination between the regulatory and inspections departments • Before and after January 2008 the Ministry of Environment and Forests assured the coordination of implementation and enforcement activities by the permanent exchange of information with the authorities and by periodic meetings. • Joint training for authorities was organised in the reporting period in the framework of different projects. • The Ministry of Environment and Forests, The National Environment Protection Agency and the National Environmental Guard participated in a PHARE project (2006/018-147.02.02.02) for technical assistance for Romanian companies in view of REACH implementation. Common guidance materials were elaborated and common conferences were organised in all the regions of the country.	

2010 Reporting

Describe the inspection and investigation strategy and methodology.	A list of companies with possible duties under REACH was elaborated. A form for REACH inspections was elaborated. Before the national legislation for REACH enforcement was in force, the inspections on chemicals legislation were aimed to raise the awareness of the Romanian companies concerning their roles and duties under REACH. After the national legislation with REACH penalties (HG 477/2009)entered into force (23.05.2009) REACH enforcement actually started.
Describe the level and extent of monitoring activities.	Monitoring activities for REACH enforcement were organised for the companies where non-compliances with REACH provisions were observed at the first inspection. The main non-compliances were related to the Safety Data Sheets.
Describe sanctions available to enforcing authorities.	The fines for REACH non-conformities are structured on three levels: light (1625 - 3750 EURO), medium (3750 - 7500 EURO) and heavy (7500 - 12500 EURO).
Describe the referrals from ECHA.	Х
Describe the referrals from other Member States.	X
Describe any other measures/relevant information.	

2	n	n	7
_	U	U	

Dutyh	olders	
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	2000	
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	500	
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	140	
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	35	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium	
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	28	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium	
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	20	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium	
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	20	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium	
Inspections		

State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	63
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	140
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	57
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	

Investi	gations
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	13
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	87
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	53
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	140
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
tate the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Dutyh	olders
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	2000
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	500
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	275
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	47
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	24
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	62
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	142
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium

Inspections	
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	71
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	275
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	

State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	142
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	

Investigations	
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	33
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	152
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	123
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	0

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

State the number of downstream users subject to formal 0 enforcement.

Were these mainly:

Not applicable

2009	
Duty	holders
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders	500
who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH	
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are	70
likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	144
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	o 11
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	7
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	25
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	81
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium

Inspections	
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	18
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	144
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	81
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0

State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0

Investi	gations
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	2
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	18
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	94
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	50
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	144
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	0

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	11
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	7
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	25
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	81
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium

Theme 9 - Information on the Effectiveness of REACH on the Protection of Human Health and the Environment, and the Promotion of Alternative Methods, and Innovation and Competition Do you think that the effects of REACH would be better evaluated at a Member State (MS) or EU level? What parameters are available at MS level that could be used to assess the effectiveness of REACH in a baseline study? EU In a base line study for REACH effectiveness assessment, some parameters from the first MS report on REACH for the Commission are useful but for the reported period is difficult to make an assessment because REACH effects interfere with the economic crisis.

Theme 10 - Other Issues/Recommendations/Ideas Please provide any further information on the implementation of REACH that the MS considers relevant. Do you wish to upload documents in support of this Yes submission Please provide a brief description of the documents that • Helpdesk Report of ROMANIA, Template for REACH Helpdesk reporting at 7th and 8th REHCORN meeting, 15you are uploading. Note: You may upload more than one document. 16 October 2009 • Helpdesk Report of ROMANIA, Template for REACH Helpdesk reporting at 1st HelpNet meeting 9-10 February 2010 • Helpdesk report of Romania to ECHA • Theme 3 Real Data - Please take as real the data as completed in this document because this IPM don't let us to complete in it.

Meta Informations		
Creation date	11-11-2010	
Last update date		
User name	ReachRO	
Case Number	932594604231031510	
Invitation Ref.		
Status	Ν	