

MS REACH Reporting Questionnaire

General Information	
Which Member State are you reporting for?	SI
What reporting period are you reporting on?	2010
Primary contact person's name.	Anja Menard
Please provide an email address for the primary contact person.	anja.menard@gov.si

Theme 1 - Information on the Competent Authority	
How many Competent Authorities are responsible for REACH?	There is one Competent Authority responsible for REACH.

One Competent Authority Responsible for REACH	
What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	Ministry of Health, Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia (CORS)
What is the address of the organisation?	Ajdovš•ina 4 1000 Ljubljana Slovenia
What is the email address of the organisation?	gp-ursk.mz@gov.si
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	00386 1 478 60 51
What is the fax number of the organisation?	00386 1 478 62 66
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent Authority deal with?	All
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Health Consumer protection
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes
What skills do staff in this part of the Competent Authority have?	Chemistry Toxicology Ecotoxicity Enforcement Legal Exposure CLP
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the REACH CA involved in?	Import/Export Biocides Other
If Other, please list the different legislations here	Cosmetic products, Persistent organic pollutants, Plant protection products, Detergents, Strategic material of special significance for security and health, Precursors for illicit drugs, Electric and electronic devices, Prior informed consent procedure;
Are there any other institutions that the Competent Authority works with in relation to REACH issues?	Yes
Please list the other institutions that the Competent Authority works with.	Ministry of Defence, Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Affairs;
Does the Competent Authority outsource any of its work?	No
How adequately resourced is the Competent Authority?	5

Space is available below to provide further comments on the resourcing of the Competent Authority.

Theme 2 - Information on Cooperation and Communication with other Member States, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) and the Commission

How effective is communication between MS for REACH? 10

How could effectiveness of communication between MS be improved?

How effective is collaboration between MS for REACH? 10

How could effectiveness of collaboration between MS be improved?

Are there any special projects/cooperation on chemicals that the MS participates in with other MS outside of REACH? Yes

Please provide further information. Twining Project with Austria

How effective is MS communication with ECHA? 10

How could effectiveness of communication with ECHA be improved?

How effective is MS collaboration with ECHA? 10

How could effectiveness of collaboration with ECHA be improved?

How effective is MS communication with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)? 10

How could effectiveness of communication with the Commission be improved?

How effective is MS collaboration with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)? 10

How could effectiveness of collaboration with the Commission be improved?

Has use been made of the safeguard clause of REACH (Art. 129)? No

Theme 3 - Operation of the National Helpdesk and Provision of Communication to the Public of Information on Risks of Substances

Please provide the name of the organisation responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH. Ministry of Health, Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia (CORS)

What is the address of the Helpdesk? Ajdovš•ina 4 1000 Ljubljana Slovenia

What is the web page address of the Helpdesk? <http://www.uk.gov.si/>

What is the email address of the Helpdesk? reach.mz@gov.si

What is the telephone number of the Helpdesk? 00386 1 478 60 51

What is the fax number of the Helpdesk? 00386 1 478 62 66

Are there any more organisations responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH? No

Please indicate the number of each type of staff that are involved in the Helpdesk.

Toxicologist 0

Ecotoxicologist	0
Chemist	1-5
Risk Assessor	0
Economist	0
Social Scientist	0
Exposure Assessor	0
Other (please list)	1-5
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in the Helpdesk, please list the type of staff here.	Biologist;
Is the same Helpdesk used to provide help to Industry on CLP?	No
Does the Helpdesk receive any non-governmental support?	No
How many enquiries does the Helpdesk receive per year?	101-1000
In what format can enquiries be received by the Helpdesk?	Email Phone Fax Letter Other (please list)
Please list the other format(s) of enquiries that can be received by the Helpdesk.	direct meetings;
How are the majority of enquiries received?	Phone
Do you provide specific advice to SME's?	No
Who are the majority of enquiries from?	Small-medium enterprises
What type of enquiries does the Helpdesk receive?	Pre-registration SIEFs Registration REACH-IT IUCLID5 Authorisation Downstream user obligations Restriction Obligations regarding articles Safety Data Sheets Enforcement SVHC CSR preparation Other (please list) CLP
Please list the other types of enquiries that the Helpdesk receives.	Scope issues and exemptions;

For each type of enquiry received, please provide the proportion in percentage of the total enquiries.

Pre-registration (%)	46
Registration (%)	20
Authorisation (%)	3
Restriction (%)	3
Enforcement (%)	3
CSR preparation (%)	3
CLP (%)	3
SIEFs (%)	3
REACH-IT (%)	3
IUCLID5 (%)	1
Downstream user obligations (%)	3
Obligations regarding articles (%)	3
Safety Data Sheets (%)	5
SVHC (%)	3
Other (%)	1

What proportion of enquiries received are deemed to be 1) straight forward, 2) complex, OR No information	
Straight forward (%)	60
Complex (%)	40
No information (%)	0

How long, on average, does it take to respond to the following types of questions?	
Straight forward questions	1 week
Complex questions	> 2 weeks
Are any types of enquiry outsourced?	No
Does the Helpdesk seek feedback on its performance?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk review its performance and consider ways to improve its effectiveness?	Yes

What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks?	
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks under REHCORN?	5
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks outside REHCORN?	5
How frequently do you use RHEP?	Monthly
Has the MS carried out any specific public awareness raising activities?	Yes
What type of activities have been carried out?	Newspaper Leaflets Speaking events

How effective was each type of activity?	
Newspaper	4
Speaking events	5

Leaflets	4
Do you have a REACH webpage/website?	Yes
Do you have a single webpage for REACH or multiple pages?	Single webpage
How frequently is the REACH webpage visited (per month)?	No information
Please describe the scope of the number of REACH webpage visits.	No data available

Theme 4 - Information on the Promotion of the Development, Evaluation and Use of Alternative Test Methods

Does the MS contribute to EU and/or OECD work on the development and validation of alternative test methods by participating in relevant committees?	Yes
What has been the overall public funding on research and development of alternative testing in your MS each year?	Euros 0-10,000

Theme 5 - Information on Participation in REACH Committees (FORUM, MS, RAC, SEAC, CARACAL, PEG, RCN, REHCORN)

On a scale of 1-10, how effective do you think the work of the Committees associated with REACH are?	8
How could the effectiveness of the Committees be improved?	

Theme 6 - Information on Substance Evaluation Activities

2010 Reporting

Please name the organisations/institutions that are involved in the evaluation process.

Please indicate the number of each type of staff that are involved in substance evaluation.

Toxicologist	0
Ecotoxicologist	0
Chemist	0
Risk Assessor	0
Socio-Economic Analyst	0
Exposure Assessor	0
Other (please list)	0

If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in substance evaluation, please list the type of staff here.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has commented upon.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers where a draft decision has been made.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has rapporteured.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has completed.

How long, on average, does evaluation of a dossier take?

How many transitional dossiers has the MS completed?

How many substances has the MS added to the Community Rolling Action Plan?

How many of ECHA's draft decisions on dossier evaluation has the MS commented on?

Theme 7 - Annex XV Dossiers

How many of each type of dossier has the MS prepared?

CLP	0
-----	---

Restriction	0
-------------	---

Identification of SVHC	0
------------------------	---

Is the time spent following up your MS dossiers reasonable?	1
---	---

Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your MS dossiers was. We did not prepared any dossier.

How many of each type of dossier are rapporteured?

CLP	0
-----	---

Restriction	0
-------------	---

Identification of SVHC	0
------------------------	---

Is the time spent following up rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
--	---

Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your rapporteured dossiers was. MS was not rapporteur.

How many of each type of dossier are co-rapporteured?

CLP	0
-----	---

Restriction	0
-------------	---

Identification of SVHC	0
------------------------	---

Is the time spent following up co-rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
---	---

Space is available below to provide further comments on MS was not co-rapporteur. how reasonable the time spent following up your co-rapportoured dossiers was.

How many dossiers prepared by other MS has the MS contributed to or commented upon?

CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	1-3

How many dossiers prepared by ECHA has the MS contributed to or commented upon?

Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0

What expertise is available for preparing dossiers?

Chemist	1-3
Toxicologist	
Ecotoxicologist	
Economist	
Enforcement	1-3
Legal	
Policy	
Exposure	
CLP	1-3

Other (please list)

If you have specified that there is other expertise is available for preparing CLH dossiers, please provide details here.

Is the MS able to access external specialists? No

Has there been any industry involvement in the preparation of MS dossiers? No

Theme 8 - Information on Enforcement Activities

General Information

Please enter the MAIN enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State. Ministry of Health, Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia (CORS) Chemicals Inspection Ajdovš•ina 4 1000 Ljubljana

Is there more than one enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State? Yes

Please provide details on the other enforcing authorities for REACH within the Member State.

Health Inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia, which is a constituent body of the Ministry of Health, is responsible for the enforcement relating to the restrictions on the marketing and use of certain dangerous substances and preparations in toys and childcare articles in accordance with Annex XVII. Labour inspectorate of the Republic of Slovenia, which is a constituent body of the Ministry of Labour, Family and Social Affairs, is together with Chemicals Inspection responsible for the enforcement relating to the access to information for workers (Article 35 of REACH regulation) and uses of the substances and preparations in accordance with paragraphs five and six of Article 37.

Enforcement Strategy

Has an overall strategy (or strategies) been devised and implemented for the enforcement of REACH?

Yes

If Yes, is the strategy (or strategies) in line with the strategy devised by the Forum?

Yes

Please outline the enforcement strategy within the Member State in a maximum of 2000 characters.

In Slovenia since 1999 Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia is responsible for the implementation and enforcement all so called "chemical legislation" and also for REAC Regulation. The Chemicals Inspection is part of the Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia. Every year the Chemical Inspection prepares the annual plan of inspection for next year. The Chemicals Inspection uses for the identification of companies which could be liable for the REACH provisions a list of legal and natural persons with authorisation for the production and trade of chemicals or for the use toxic and highly toxic chemicals. Every legal and natural persons dealing with: - production of hazardous chemicals - trade with hazardous chemicals, - use of hazardous chemicals classified as highly toxic (T+) or toxic (T), are liable to obtain an authorization for carrying out these activities. The Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia runs a list of legal and natural persons with authorizations. For the preparations on inspections of the Safety Data Sheets and the classification and labelling the Chemicals Inspection uses the list of chemicals, which involves data on chemicals (substances and preparations) on the market of the RS classified as hazardous. Any legal entity or sole trader manufacturing chemicals in the RS or importing chemicals into the RS for professional use, including import for direct use in their own production, is liable to communicate data of chemicals as well as their annual volume of import or production. The Chemicals Inspection also carries on "on side" inspection in the company. Every year the Chemicals Inspection prepares specific actions regarding the enforcement on the restrictions and bans on placing on the market certain hazardous substances specially in the articles.

Co-ordination, co-operation and exchange of information

Please outline of the mechanisms put in place to ensure good cooperation, coordination and exchange of information on REACH enforcement between enforcing authorities and the Competent Authority.

The information exchange system is based on the exchanging the reports from members of different bodies (CARACAL, FORUM...). The Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia organises regular meetings for the exchanging information. Under the auspices of the Council of Chief Inspectors it was established Committee on Integrated Chemicals Inspection with the Inspector from Chemicals Inspection on Board. The most important task is the establishing more effective and coordinated control over the implementation of the all legislation about chemical safety in question and the exchanging information on this field. The shortcomings in the legislation are much more evident in practice; therefore it is inspectors who can detect them most easily. When it comes to the improvement of the legislation, the role of inspectors should be upgraded from a passive into an active role, not least because the inspectors can substantially contribute to unearthing any shortcomings of the legislation as well as to bringing forward proposals to remove these deficiencies.

Describe how these mechanisms have operated in practice during the reporting period (e.g. regular meetings, joint training, joint inspections, co-ordinated projects and so on).

During the reporting period Inspector for chemicals was member of internal group for the implementation of REACH regulation on the Chemicals Office of the Republic of Slovenia. It has meetings monthly.

2010 Reporting

Describe the inspection and investigation strategy and methodology.

The Inspection for Chemicals follow up the tasks in accordance with Plan of inspections for 2010. From the above mentioned List of companies and List of chemicals, Inspectors for chemicals choose companies with the high risk of non -compliance with the REACH regulation. Slovenia is also participating in the FORUM project REACH EN FORCE 1. Plan of inspections also includes the projects for the enforcement of the restrictions.

Describe the level and extent of monitoring activities.

No data

Describe sanctions available to enforcing authorities.

Inspector for chemicals should request a harmonization of activities from company with REACH, could assign the penalties or where is appropriate he/she could stop the production/selling /uses of chemicals.

Describe the referrals from ECHA.

Not applicable

Describe the referrals from other Member States.

Not applicable

Describe any other measures/relevant information.

Not applicable

2007

Dutyholders

Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.

1300

Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.

184

What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?

68

State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to 14 inspections and investigations.	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	19
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	78
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	43
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium

Inspections	
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	78
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	38
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	43
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	28
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	20
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	10
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0

Investigations	
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	20
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	10
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	27
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	10

State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	10
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	10

Enforcement

State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

2008

Dutyholders

Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	1300
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	184
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	128
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to 14 inspections and investigations.	
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	22
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	33
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	57
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium

Inspections

State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0

State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	128
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	67
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	57
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	25
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	20
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	8
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	0

Investigations	
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	6
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	45
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	8
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	8
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	8

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

2009

Dutyholders

Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH. 1300

Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH. 184

What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year? 168

State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to 18 inspections and investigations.

Were these mainly: Small-Medium

State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations. 14

Were these mainly: Small-Medium

State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations. 36

Were these mainly: Small-Medium

State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations. 99

Were these mainly: Small-Medium

Inspections

State the number of inspections that addressed registration. 5

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 0

State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain. 168

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 66

State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use. 99

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 32

State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation. 0

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 0

State the number of inspections that addressed restriction. 70

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 8

State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties. 0

State the number these cases which were non-compliant. 0

Investigations

State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	8
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	102
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	8
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	8
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	8

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	6
Were these mainly:	Large
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	2
Were these mainly:	Small-Medium
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Theme 9 - Information on the Effectiveness of REACH on the Protection of Human Health and the Environment, and the Promotion of Alternative Methods, and Innovation and Competition	
Do you think that the effects of REACH would be better evaluated at a Member State (MS) or EU level?	EU
What parameters are available at MS level that could be used to assess the effectiveness of REACH in a baseline study?	Level of compliance at national level.

Theme 10 - Other Issues/Recommendations/Ideas	
Please provide any further information on the implementation of REACH that the MS considers relevant.	
Do you wish to upload documents in support of this submission	No

Meta Informations

Creation date	09-06-2010
Last update date	
User name	ReachSI
Case Number	126762210161116010
Invitation Ref.	
Status	N