

## Commission's Roadmap on the Strategy on Plastics in a Circular Economy

ClientEarth welcomes the Commission's initiative to define an EU Strategy to tackle the challenges of Plastics in a Circular Economy. However, ClientEarth is concerned that this initiative, as currently presented in the Roadmap 1) fails to take sufficiently into account the EU goal to ensure a high level of protection of human health and the environment against hazardous chemicals and 2) fails to present this objective as a cumulative one to be pursued in parallel with the aim to "turn the Union into a resource-efficient, green and competitively low-carbon economy".

## The objectives of the Strategy: a high level of protection against hazardous chemicals?

When defining the objectives of the Strategy (section B.), the Roadmap focuses mainly on the implementation of the Circular Economy as defined in a Commission's communication<sup>1</sup>, EU jobs, and the Energy Union. It does not refer to the binding objectives under the Treaty, i.e. ensuring a high level of protection of human health and the environment (Article 191 TFEU), nor to the 7<sup>th</sup> Environment Action Programme,<sup>2</sup> or the recent Council Conclusions on the sound management of chemicals.<sup>3</sup>

When defining the "problem the initiative aims to tackle" (Section A.), the Roadmap focuses on: 1) "the high dependence on virgin fossil feedstock" (i.e. overexploitation of the resources of our planet), 2) "the low rate of recycling and reuse of plastics" (i.e. the linear economy), and 3) "the significant leakage of plastics into the environment" (i.e. failure to ensure appropriate end-of-life). Even though all of these problems are relevant for the protection of the environment and human health, one fundamental environmental and health concern – particularly relevant to plastics - is missing: hazardous chemicals. Indeed, this issue is only mentioned in the background as a secondary problem: a cause for "*weak incentives for a market for secondary plastic materials*".

Hazardous chemicals raise specific environmental and health concerns that the EU has committed to tackle. This is clearly stated under the 7<sup>th</sup> Environment Action Programme,<sup>4</sup> and was restated in the recent Council Conclusions on the sound management of chemicals.<sup>5</sup> Plastics raise particular concerns in that regard. The EU is currently working for example on restrictions (e.g. phthalates) to address this.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Communication of the Commission, Closing the loop - An EU action plan for the Circular Economy, COM/2015/0614 final.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Decision No 1386/2013/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2013 on a General Union Environment Action Programme to 2020 'Living well, within the limits of our planet', OJ L 354, 28.12.2013, p. 171–200 ("7<sup>th</sup> EAP").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Council of the EU, Conclusions, Protection of human health and the environment through the sound management of chemicals, 19 December 2016, n°15673/16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> EAP, para. 3, 6, 50, 54,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Council of the EU, Conclusions, Protection of human health and the environment through the sound management of chemicals, 19 December 2016, n°15673/16.



Considering this issue as ancillary to the Circular Economy is thus not coherent. This approach is contrary to the Better Regulation principles and to Article 7 TFEU which requires that the Union "*ensure*[s] consistency between its policies and activities, taking all of its objectives into account [...]".

ClientEarth therefore recommends that in the Roadmap and future communications regarding the Plastics Strategy, the Commission includes as a self-standing objective of this Strategy the elimination of hazardous chemicals in plastics.

## **Recycling "versus" elimination of hazardous chemicals?**

In order to achieve a circular economy that is safe and sustainable two objectives need to be taken into account i) the increase of recycling and ii) the elimination of hazardous chemicals from material cycles. However the roadmap seems to depict these two objectives as opposing each other ("pros and cons of allowing recycling of certain materials versus elimination of the chemical of concern").

This approach disregards the cumulative nature of the Environmental objectives of the EU which include both the "prudent and rational utilisation of natural resources", as well as "preserving, protecting and improving the quality of the environment", or the "protection human health" (Article 191 TFEU). This is further elaborated in the 7<sup>th</sup> Environment Action Programme<sup>6</sup> which presented as "interrelated" and to "be pursued in parallel" the three priority objectives: the "resource efficient, green and competitive low-economy" (which the Circular Economy serves), "protecting conserving and enhancing the Union natural capital" and "safeguarding the Union's citizens from environment-related pressures and risks to health and well-being" (which cover the issues raised by hazardous chemicals).

ClientEarth therefore recommends amending the Roadmap in order to present recycling and elimination of hazardous chemicals as cumulative goals. The last sentence of Point 2.1 could for example be replaced with the following:

"There is no constant high flow of high quality recyclates due in particular to the presence in these recyclates of hazardous chemicals in concentration exceeding the current legal limits. For plastics not on the market yet, the current framework fails to limit the use of hazardous chemicals in virgin materials hereby reducing their recyclability. For plastic materials already on the market, there is no horizontal approach to distinguish between i) cases where recycling in compliance with up-to-date restrictions on the presence of hazardous chemicals is possible, and ii) cases where this is not feasible and why (in particular, whether the reasons are of technical nature or purely economic ones)."

If the Commission promotes a circular economy where recycling should happen at any cost for human health and the environment, it may create a parallel material cycle of plastics contaminated by hazardous chemicals that consumers and the market will reject.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 7<sup>th</sup> EAP, para. 16.



Vito Buonsante Law & Policy Advisor, Chemicals & Biodiversity +32 (0) 2 808 3472 vbuonsante@clientearth.org Alice Bernard Lawyer/Juriste, Chemicals +32 (0) 28 08 8015 abernard@clientearth.org

ClientEarth is a non-profit environmental law organisation based in London, Brussels and Warsaw. We are activist lawyers working at the interface of law, science and policy. Using the power of the law, we develop legal strategies and tools to address major environmental issues.

ClientEarth is funded by the generous support of philanthropic foundations, institutional donors and engaged individuals.

Brussels Rue du Trône 60 5ème étage 1050 Bruxelles Belgique London 274 Richmond Road London E8 3QW UK Warsaw ul. Żurawia 45 00-680 Warszawa Polska

ClientEarth is a company limited by guarantee, registered in England and Wales, company number 02863827, registered charity number 1053988, registered office 10 Queen Street Place, London EC4R 1BE, with a registered branch in Belgium, N° d'enterprise 0894.251.512, and with a registered foundation in Poland, Fundacja ClientEarth Prawnicy dla Ziemi, KRS 0000364218.