MS REACH Reporting Questionnaire

General Information		
Which Member State are you reporting for?	CZ	
What reporting period are you reporting on?	2010	
Primary contact person's name.	Karel Blaha	
Please provide an email address for the primary contact person.	karel.blaha@mzp.cz	

Theme 1 - Information on the Competent Authority		
How many Competent Authorities are responsible for REACH?	There is one Competent Authority responsible for REACH.	

One Competent Authority Responsible for REACH	
What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	Ministry of the Environment of the Czech Republic
What is the address of the organisation?	Vrsovicka 65 100 10 Praha 10 Czech Republic
What is the email address of the organisation?	info@mzp.cz
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	+420-2-6712-1111
What is the fax number of the organisation?	+420-2-6731-0308
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent Authority deal with?	All
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Environment
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes
What skills do staff in this part of the Competent Authority have?	Chemistry Legal CLP Other (please list)
Please list the other skills that staff in this part of the Competent Authority have.	Ecology
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the REACH CA involved in?	Import/Export Other
If Other, please list the different legislations here	Detergents POP's CLP

Are there any other institutions that the Competent Authority works with in relation to REACH issues?	Yes
Please list the other institutions that the Competent Authority works with.	Ministry of Health Ministry of Industry and Trade
Does the Competent Authority outsource any of its work?	Yes
Please provide details on who the Competent Authority outsources parts of its work to.	Toxicity Ecotoxicity Exposure
How adequately resourced is the Competent Authority?	6
Space is available below to provide further comments on the resourcing of the Competent Authority.	

Theme 2 - Information on Cooperation and Communication with other Member States, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) and the Commission

How effective is communication between MS for REACH?	5
How could effectiveness of communication between MS be improved?	
How effective is collaboration between MS for REACH?	5
How could effectiveness of collaboration between MS be improved?	
Are there any special projects/cooperation on chemicals that the MS participates in with other MS outside of REACH?	No
How effective is MS communication with ECHA?	7
How could effectiveness of communication with ECHA be improved?	
How effective is MS collaboration with ECHA?	7
How could effectiveness of collaboration with ECHA be improved?	
How effective is MS communication with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	7
How could effectiveness of communication with the Commission be improved?	
How effective is MS collaboration with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	7

Theme 3 - Operation of the National Helpdesk and Provision of Communication to the Public of Information on Risks of Substances

Please provide the name of the organisation responsible CENIA, Czech Environmental Information Agency for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH.

What is the address of the Helpdesk?	Litevska 8, 100 05 Praha 10, Czech Republic
What is the web page address of the Helpdesk?	www.cenia.cz/reach
What is the email address of the Helpdesk?	reach@cenia.cz
What is the telephone number of the Helpdesk?	+420-2-6722-5315, +420+2-6722-5323
What is the fax number of the Helpdesk?	+420-2-7174-2306
Are there any more organisations responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH?	No

Please indicate the number of each type	of staff that are involved in the Helpdesk.
Toxicologist	0
Ecotoxicologist	0
Chemist	1-5
Risk Assessor	0
Economist	0
Social Scientist	0
Exposure Assessor	0
Other (please list)	0
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in the Helpdesk, please list the type of staff here.	
Is the same Helpdesk used to provide help to Industry on CLP?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk receive any non-governmental support?	No

n what format can enquiries be received by the Helpdesk?	Email Phone Fax Letter	
How are the majority of enquiries received?	Email	
Do you provide specific advice to SME's?	No	
Who are the majority of enquiries from?	Medium enterprises	
What type of enquiries does the Helpdesk receive?	Pre-registration SIEFs Registration REACH-IT Evaluation IUCLID5 Authorisation Downstream user obligations Restriction Obligations regarding articles Testing Safety Data Sheets Enforcement SVHC CSR preparation CLP	

For each type of enquiry received, please provide the proportion in percentage of the total enquiries.		
Pre-registration (%)	14	
Registration (%)	29	
Evaluation (%)	1	
Authorisation (%)	1	
Restriction (%)	1	
Testing (%)	1	
Enforcement (%)	3	
CSR preparation (%)	1	
CLP (%)	3	
SIEFs (%)	2	

REACH-IT (%)	5
IUCLID5 (%)	1
Downstream user obligations (%)	7
Obligations regarding articles (%)	12
Safety Data Sheets (%)	6
SVHC (%)	2

What proportion of enquiries received are deemed to be 1) straight forward, 2) complex, OR No information		plex,
Straight forward (%).	87	
Complex (%).	13	
No information (%).	0	

How long, on average, does it take to respond to the following types of questions?	
Straight forward questions	1 week
Complex questions	2 weeks
Are any types of enquiry outsourced?	No
Does the Helpdesk seek feedback on its performance?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk review its performance and consider ways to improve its effectiveness?	Yes

What level of cooperation	is there between Helpdesks?
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks under REHCORN?	5
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks outside REHCORN?	3
How frequently do you use RHEP?	Weekly
Has the MS carried out any specific public awarness raising activities?	Yes
What type of activities have been carried out?	Newspaper Leaflets Speaking events

How effective was each type of activity?

Newspaper	2
Speaking events	3
Leaflets	3
Do you have a REACH webpage/website?	Yes
Do you have a single webpage for REACH or multiple pages?	Multiple webpages
How frequently is the REACH webpage visited (per month)?	501-5,000
Please describe the scope of the number of REACH webpage visits.	About REACH News Frequently Asked Questions Legislation

Theme 4 - Information on the Promotion of the Development, Evaluation and Use of Alternative Test Methods

Does the MS contribute to EU and/or OECD work on the development and validation of alternative test methods by participating in relevant committees?	Yes
What has been the overall public funding on research and development of alternative testing in your MS each year?	Euros 10,001-100,000

Theme 5 - Information on Participation in REACH Committees (FORUM, MS, RAC, SEAC, CARACAL, PEG, RCN, REHCORN)

On a scale of 1-10, how effective do you think the work 6 of the Committees associated with REACH are?

How could the effectiveness of the Committees be improved?

Theme 6 - Information on Substance Evaluation Activities

2010 Reporting

Please name the organisations/institutions that are involved in the evaluation process.

Please indicate the number of each type of staff that are involved in substance evaluation.

Toxicologist

Ecotoxicologist

Chemist

Risk Assessor
Socio-Economic Analyst
Exposure Assessor
Other (please list)
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in substance evaluation, please list the type of staff here.
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has commented upon.
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers where a draft decision has been made.
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has rapporteured.
Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has completed.
How long, on average, does evaluation of a dossier take?
How many transitional dossiers has the MS completed?
How many substances has the MS added to the Community Rolling Action Plan?
How many of ECHA's draft decisions on dossier evaluation has the MS commented on?

Theme 7 - Annex XV Dossiers

How many of each type of dossier has the MS prepared?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up your MS dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comment how reasonable the time spent following up your MS dossiers was.	

How many of each type of dossier are rapporteured?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0

Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your rapporteured dossiers was.	

How many of each type of	dossier are co-rapporteured?
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up co-rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your co-rapporteured dossiers was.	

How many dossiers prepared by other MS has the MS contributed to or commented upon?		
CLP	0	
Restriction	1-3	
Identification of SVHC	1-3	

How many dossiers prepared by ECHA has the MS contributed to or commented upon?		oon?
Restriction	1-3	
Identification of SVHC	1-3	

What expertise is available for preparing dossiers?
Chemist
Toxicologist
Ecotoxicologist
Economist
Enforcement

Legal	
Policy	
Exposure	
CLP	
Other (please list)	
If you have specified that there is other expertise is available for preparing CLH dossiers, please provide details here.	
Is the MS able to access external specialists?	Yes
What types of external specialists does the MS have access to?	Toxicologists Ecotoxicologists
Is the MS satisfied with the levels of access to expertise?	3
Has there been any industry involvement in the preparation of MS dossiers?	No

Theme 8 - Information on Enforcement Activities

General I	nformation
Please enter the MAIN enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State.	The Czech Environmental Inspectorate
Is there more than one enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State?	Yes
Please provide details on the other enforcing authorities for REACH within the Member State.	Czech Customs Administration - checks duties of importers; focuses on safety data sheets Regional Public Health Authorities - checks duties of manufacturers, importers, downstream users and distributors concerning substances in articles in contact with water and food, in toys and in cosmetic products

Enforcement Strategy	
Has an overall strategy (or strategies) been devised and implemented for the enforcement of REACH?	Yes
If Yes, is the strategy (or strategies) in line with the strategy devised by the Forum?	Yes

Please outline the enforcement strategy within the Member State in a maximum of 2000 characters. General enforcement methodology concerning REACH regulation was developed in 2009. This methodology defines main policy objectives like pre-registration, safety data sheets and duty to communicate information on substances in articles. The Czech Environmental Inspectorate has the necessary structure to ensure the enforcement of REACH regulation. Communication and coordination with enforcement authorities in other MS is performed via direct communication with members of the Forum and via RAPEX notifications. The choice of enforcement action is clearly a matter for the inspector at the time, guided by the legal requirements and the Czech Environmental Inspectorate's enforcement methodology and procedures. Administrative sanctions are imposed in case of non-compliance with REACH regulation. Enforcement activities are monitored and annually evaluated. The update of enforcement methodology is based on monitoring and evaluation of enforcement activities.

Co-ordination, co-operation and exchange of information	
Please outline of the mechanisms put in place to ensure good cooperation, coordination and exchange of information on REACH enforcement between enforcing authorities and the Competent Authority.	Representatives of the Czech Environmental Inspectorate communicate with the Competent Authority and the Competent Authority ensures training of inspectors. Enforcement methodology was approved by the Competent Authority.
Describe how these mechanisms have operated in practice during the reporting period (e.g. regular meetings, joint training, joint inspections, co-ordinated projects and so on).	The training of inspectors regarding REACH regulation was ensured by the Competent Authority in 2007, 2008 and 2009. Several meetings between the Czech Environmental Inspectorate and the Competent Authority were carried out. The Czech Environmental Inspectorate was given the list of subjects that pre- registered by the Competent Authority.

2010 Reporting

Describe the inspection and investigation strategy and methodology.

Describe the level and extent of monitoring activities.	Subjects with possible duties toward REACH regulation were inspected (manufacturers, importers, downstream users and distributors). Since November 2008 the Czech Environmental Inspectorate can control compliance of safety data sheets with REACH regulation. Safety data sheets were formally controlled during 12 inspections in 2008 and during 230 inspections in 2009.
Describe sanctions available to enforcing authorities.	The Czech Environmental Inspectorate can impose administrative sanctions in case of non-compliance with REACH regulation according to Act No. 356/2003 On chemicals and chemical preparations. All offences against the REACH regulation are covered in this Act by "catch-all provision". The Czech Environmental Inspectorate may order to withdraw the relevant non- compliant substance or preparation from the market.
Describe the referrals from ECHA.	No referrals from ECHA were registered.
Describe the referrals from other Member States.	The Czech Environmental Inspectorate received in 2009 referral from Latvia indicating the non-compliance of domestic subject with Article 67.

Describe any other measures/relevant information.

Dutyholders	
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	0
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Insp	ections
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0

	Investigations
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0

State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	0

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

2008	
Dutyholders	
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	

What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	0
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Inspections		
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	0	
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0	
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	0	
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0	
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	0	
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0	
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0	
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0	
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0	
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0	

State the number these cases which were noncompliant.

Invest	tigations
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	0

0

Enforcement		
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	Not applicable	
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	Not applicable	
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	Not applicable	
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	Not applicable	

Dutyholders		
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.		
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.		
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	44	
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	21	
Were these mainly:	No information	
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	6	
Were these mainly:	No information	
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	20	
Were these mainly:	No information	
State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	11	
Were these mainly:	No information	

	Inspections
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	25
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	25
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	1
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	10
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	1
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0

State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	0
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	10
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	1
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non- compliant.	

Invest	igations
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	1
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	2
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	42
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	1
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	

Enforcement		
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	No information	
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0	
Were these mainly:	Not applicable	
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	1	

Were these mainly:

No information

State the number of downstream users subject to formal 0 enforcement.

Were these mainly:

No information

Theme 9 - Information on the Effectiveness of REACH on the Protection of Human Health and the Environment, and the Promotion of Alternative Methods, and Innovation and Competition

Do you think that the effects of REACH would be better EU evaluated at a Member State (MS) or EU level?

What parameters are available at MS level that could be Quality of human health Environmental quality used to assess the effectiveness of REACH in a baseline study?

Theme 10 - Other Issues/Recommendations/Ideas	
Please provide any further information on the implementation of REACH that the MS considers relevant.	
Do you wish to upload documents in support of this No submission	

Meta Informations		
Creation date	28-05-2010	
Last update date	01-06-2010	
User name	ReachCZ	
Case Number	936111836561314810	
Invitation Ref.		
Status	N	