

General Information	
Which Member State are you reporting for?	LU
What reporting period are you reporting on?	2010
Primary contact person's name.	Jill Weber
Please provide an email address for the primary contact person.	jill.weber@aev.etat.lu

Theme 1 - Information on the Competent Authority	
How many Competent Authorities are responsible for REACH?	There is one Competent Authority responsible for REACH.

One Competent Authority Responsible for REACH	
What is the name of the organisation where the Competent Authority is situated?	Ministère du Développement durable et des Infrastructures (Ministry of Sustainable Development and Infrastructure)
What is the address of the organisation?	18, Montée de la Pétrusse L-2918 LUXEMBOURG
What is the email address of the organisation?	info@mddi.public.lu
What is the telephone number of the organisation?	+352 247 86824
What is the fax number of the organisation?	+352 40 04 10
What part of REACH does this part of the Competent Authority deal with?	Evaluation Restriction CLP Risk Assessment
From what part of Government does this part of the Competent Authority have authority from?	Environment
Are employees in the Competent Authority directly employed by Government (civil servants)?	Yes
What skills do staff in this part of the Competent Authority have?	Chemistry Enforcement
What other chemical legislation are the staff of the REACH CA involved in?	Import/Export Other
If Other, please list the different legislations here	Mercury, CLP
Are there any other institutions that the Competent Authority works with in relation to REACH issues?	No
Does the Competent Authority outsource any of its work?	No
How adequately resourced is the Competent Authority?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on the resourcing of the Competent Authority.	Human resources allotted to fulfill the obligations of a REACH Competent Authority and to maintain an enforcement system a very limited.

Theme 2 - Information on Cooperation and Communication with other Member States, the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) and the Commission	
--	--

How effective is communication between MS for REACH?	8
How could effectiveness of communication between MS be improved?	Communication between MS could be improved by using an internet based Forum or discussion board for fast exchange and coordination on specific questions.
How effective is collaboration between MS for REACH?	7
How could effectiveness of collaboration between MS be improved?	
Are there any special projects/cooperation on chemicals that the MS participates in with other MS outside of REACH?	No
How effective is MS communication with ECHA?	8
How could effectiveness of communication with ECHA be improved?	
How effective is MS collaboration with ECHA?	9
How could effectiveness of collaboration with ECHA be improved?	
How effective is MS communication with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	7
How could effectiveness of communication with the Commission be improved?	
How effective is MS collaboration with the Commission (specifically Article 133 Committee)?	8
How could effectiveness of collaboration with the Commission be improved?	
Has use been made of the safeguard clause of REACH (Art. 129)?	No

### Theme 3 - Operation of the National Helpdesk and Provision of Communication to the Public of Information on Risks of Substances

Please provide the name of the organisation responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH.	Centre de Ressources des Technologies pour l'Environnement (CRTE), CRP Henri Tudor
What is the address of the Helpdesk?	CRTE 66, rue de Luxembourg BP 144 L-4002 Esch-sur-Alzette LUXEMBOURG
What is the web page address of the Helpdesk?	www.reach.lu
What is the email address of the Helpdesk?	reach@tudor.lu
What is the telephone number of the Helpdesk?	+352 42 59 91-600
What is the fax number of the Helpdesk?	+352 42 59 91-555
Are there any more organisations responsible for operating the National Helpdesk for REACH?	No

### Please indicate the number of each type of staff that are involved in the Helpdesk.

Toxicologist	0
Ecotoxicologist	1-5
Chemist	1-5

Risk Assessor	0
Economist	0
Social Scientist	0
Exposure Assessor	0
Other (please list)	0
If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in the Helpdesk, please list the type of staff here.	1 environmental scientist
Is the same Helpdesk used to provide help to Industry on CLP?	Yes
Does the Helpdesk receive any non-governmental support?	No
How many enquiries does the Helpdesk receive per year?	101-1000
In what format can enquiries be received by the Helpdesk?	Email Phone Fax Letter Other (please list)
Please list the other format(s) of enquiries that can be received by the Helpdesk.	Contact form on website
How are the majority of enquiries received?	Phone
Do you provide specific advice to SME's?	No
Who are the majority of enquiries from?	Small-medium enterprises
What type of enquiries does the Helpdesk receive?	SIEFs Registration Authorisation Downstream user obligations Obligations regarding articles Testing Safety Data Sheets Enforcement SVHC CLP

For each type of enquiry received, please provide the proportion in percentage of the total enquiries.

Registration (%)	5
Authorisation (%)	5
Testing (%)	5
Enforcement (%)	5
CLP (%)	5
SIEFs (%)	5
Downstream user obligations (%)	5
Obligations regarding articles (%)	5

Safety Data Sheets (%)	5
SVHC (%)	5

What proportion of enquiries received are deemed to be 1) straight forward, 2) complex, OR No information	
Straight forward (%)	60
Complex (%)	40
No information (%)	0

How long, on average, does it take to respond to the following types of questions?	
Straight forward questions	1 day
Complex questions	1 week
Are any types of enquiry outsourced?	No
Does the Helpdesk seek feedback on its performance?	No
Does the Helpdesk review its performance and consider ways to improve its effectiveness?	Yes

What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks?	
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks under REHCORN?	3
What level of cooperation is there between Helpdesks outside REHCORN?	3
How frequently do you use RHEP?	Weekly
Has the MS carried out any specific public awareness raising activities?	Yes
What type of activities have been carried out?	Leaflets Speaking events

How effective was each type of activity?	
Speaking events	4
Leaflets	4
Do you have a REACH webpage/website?	Yes
Do you have a single webpage for REACH or multiple pages?	Single webpage
How frequently is the REACH webpage visited (per month)?	501-5,000
Please describe the scope of the number of REACH webpage visits.	Around 2000 7 month

#### Theme 4 - Information on the Promotion of the Development, Evaluation and Use of Alternative Test Methods

Does the MS contribute to EU and/or OECD work on the development and validation of alternative test methods by participating in relevant committees? No

What has been the overall public funding on research and development of alternative testing in your MS each year? No information

#### Theme 5 - Information on Participation in REACH Committees (FORUM, MS, RAC, SEAC, CARACAL, PEG, RCN, REHCORN)

On a scale of 1-10, how effective do you think the work of the Committees associated with REACH are? 8

How could the effectiveness of the Committees be improved?

Member State Committee - Distribution of meeting documents usually o.k. However, sometimes documents come in very late, which should be avoided - Delegation room: No LAN access for computers is inconvenient (if you do not have the access code/password for the WLAN at hand) and no cell phone reception and no landline phone is a bad combination

#### Theme 6 - Information on Substance Evaluation Activities

##### 2010 Reporting

Please name the organisations/institutions that are involved in the evaluation process. Administration de l'environnement Luxembourg (Environment Agency)

Please indicate the number of each type of staff that are involved in substance evaluation.

Toxicologist

Ecotoxicologist

Chemist

1-5

Risk Assessor

Socio-Economic Analyst

Exposure Assessor

Other (please list)

If you have specified that there are a number of other staff that are involved in substance evaluation, please list the type of staff here.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has commented upon.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers where a draft decision has been made.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has rapporteured.

Please list the names of the substances covered in the dossiers that the MS has completed.

How long, on average, does evaluation of a dossier take?	No information
How many transitional dossiers has the MS completed?	
How many substances has the MS added to the Community Rolling Action Plan?	0
How many of ECHA's draft decisions on dossier evaluation has the MS commented on?	0

### Theme 7 - Annex XV Dossiers

How many of each type of dossier has the MS prepared?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up your MS dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your MS dossiers was.	

How many of each type of dossier are rapporteured?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up rapporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your rapporteured dossiers was.	

How many of each type of dossier are co-raporteured?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0
Is the time spent following up co-raporteured dossiers reasonable?	1
Space is available below to provide further comments on how reasonable the time spent following up your co-raporteured dossiers was.	

How many dossiers prepared by other MS has the MS contributed to or commented upon?	
CLP	0
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0

How many dossiers prepared by ECHA has the MS contributed to or commented upon?	
Restriction	0
Identification of SVHC	0

What expertise is available for preparing dossiers?	
Chemist	
Toxicologist	
Ecotoxicologist	
Economist	
Enforcement	
Legal	
Policy	
Exposure	
CLP	
Other (please list)	
If you have specified that there is other expertise is available for preparing CLH dossiers, please provide details here.	
Is the MS able to access external specialists?	No
Has there been any industry involvement in the preparation of MS dossiers?	No

## Theme 8 - Information on Enforcement Activities

General Information	
Please enter the MAIN enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State.	Administration de l'environnement Luxembourg (Environment Agency)
Is there more than one enforcing authority for REACH within the Member State?	Yes
Please provide details on the other enforcing authorities for REACH within the Member State.	- Administration des douanes et accises (Customs and Excise Authority) - Inspection du travail et des mines (Health and Safety Inspectorate) - Direction de la Santé (Health Management) - Laboratoire national de santé (National Health Laboratory) - Administration de la gestion de l'eau (Water Management Agency) - Police grand-ducale (Police)

Enforcement Strategy	
Has an overall strategy (or strategies) been devised and implemented for the enforcement of REACH?	No
If No, are there any plans for making an enforcement strategy (or strategies)?	No
Comments	Due to the limited resources allotted for enforcement activities, no specific enforcement strategy was developed. Enforcement activities are mainly reactive and dealt with on a case by case basis.

Co-ordination, co-operation and exchange of information	
Please outline of the mechanisms put in place to ensure good cooperation, coordination and exchange of information on REACH enforcement between enforcing authorities and the Competent Authority.	As the competent authority and the main enforcing authority are the same institution, no mechanism is needed for exchange of information, co-operation or co-ordination between the two. On a regular basis, representatives from the national enforcing authorities for REACH meet for coordination and exchange of information on specific enforcement cases. Principles and strategies for better co-operation between authorities are being developed within this group.
Describe how these mechanisms have operated in practice during the reporting period (e.g. regular meetings, joint training, joint inspections, co-ordinated projects and so on).	Regular meetings for the national enforcing authorities are being held. No joint trainings, joint inspections or co-ordinated projects have been set up yet, but are being considered for the future.

2010 Reporting	
Describe the inspection and investigation strategy and methodology.	
Describe the level and extent of monitoring activities.	Monitoring activities are performed by customs authorities for imported chemical products at the national airport as part of their general monitoring of imported products.



Describe sanctions available to enforcing authorities. Administrative sanctions Enforcing authorities are authorized to: - request information concerning all registers, writings and documents related to the substances, preparations and articles specified by the national REACH law of 27 April 2009, - take, for the purposes of examination or analysis, samples of the substances, preparations and articles specified by the national REACH law of 27 April 2009. A receipt shall be issued for the samples that are taken. Part of the sample, which shall be sealed, shall be returned to the manufacturer, producer, importer, downstream user, distributor or recipient, unless the latter expressly waives this right, - confiscate or seize the substances, preparations and articles specified by the national REACH law of 27 April 2009, as well as registers, writings and documents concerning these. Each manufacturer, producer, importer, downstream user or recipient respectively of the substances, preparations and articles are to, on demand of the enforcing authorities, to facilitate the operations these accomplish in virtue of the national REACH law of 27 April 2009. In the event of conviction incurred to the above mentioned measures shall be paid by the offender. In all other cases, these costs shall be paid by the State. Penal sanctions Whoever commits a violation to the articles 5 to 9, 9 to 12, 14, 17 to 19, 21, 22, 25, 27 to 41, 46, 56, 60 to 62, 65 to 68, 74 and 129 of the REACH regulation, is punished with a one to three year prison sentence and a fine from 251 to 50.000 euros, or one of these sanctions only. The same penalty is applied in case of obstruction of the above mentioned administrative measures.

Describe the referrals from ECHA. -

Describe the referrals from other Member States. -

Describe any other measures/relevant information.

2007

Dutyholders	
Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.	
Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.	
What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year?	0
State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Inspections	
State the number of inspections that addressed registration.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	

Investigations	
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0

Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

2008

### Dutyholders

Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.

Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.

What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year? 0

State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations. 0

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of importer dutyholders subject to inspections and investigations. 0

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of distributors subject to inspections and investigations. 0

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of downstream users subject to inspections and investigations. 0

Were these mainly: Not applicable

### Inspections

State the number of inspections that addressed registration. 0

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed information in the supply chain. 0

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed downstream use. 0

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed authorisation.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed restriction.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	
State the number of inspections that addressed other REACH duties.	0
State the number these cases which were non-compliant.	

<b>Investigations</b>	
State the number of investigations prompted by complaints and concerns raised.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	

<b>Enforcement</b>	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

2009

## Dutyholders

Provide an estimate of the total number of dutyholders who are likely to have duties imposed on them by REACH.

Provide an estimate of the above dutyholders who are likely to constitute registrants as defined by REACH.

What was the total number of inspections and investigations carried out by enforcing authorities in which REACH was discussed and/or enforced for this year? 0

State the number of manufacturer dutyholders subject to 0 inspections and investigations.

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of importer dutyholders subject to 0 inspections and investigations.

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of distributors subject to inspections 0 and investigations.

Were these mainly: Not applicable

State the number of downstream users subject to 0 inspections and investigations.

Were these mainly: Not applicable

## Inspections

State the number of inspections that addressed 0 registration.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed 0 information in the supply chain.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed 0 downstream use.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed 0 authorisation.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed 0 restriction.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

State the number of inspections that addressed other 0 REACH duties.

State the number these cases which were non-compliant.

## Investigations

State the number of investigations prompted by 0 complaints and concerns raised.

State the number of investigations prompted by incidents or dangerous occurrences.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by monitoring.	0
State the number of investigations prompted by results of inspection/follow up activities.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in no areas of non-compliance.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in verbal or written advice.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in formal enforcement short of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of inspections and investigations resulting in initiation of legal proceedings.	0
State the number of convictions following legal proceedings.	

Enforcement	
State the number of manufacturers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of importers subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of distributors subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable
State the number of downstream users subject to formal enforcement.	0
Were these mainly:	Not applicable

Theme 9 - Information on the Effectiveness of REACH on the Protection of Human Health and the Environment, and the Promotion of Alternative Methods, and Innovation and Competition	
Do you think that the effects of REACH would be better evaluated at a Member State (MS) or EU level?	EU
What parameters are available at MS level that could be used to assess the effectiveness of REACH in a baseline study?	The Central Statistical and Economic Studies Service STATEC (Service central de la statistique et des études économiques) holds general data and statistics of the national structure and activities.

Theme 10 - Other Issues/Recommendations/Ideas	
Please provide any further information on the implementation of REACH that the MS considers relevant.	
Do you wish to upload documents in support of this submission	No

Meta Informations	
Creation date	01-06-2010
Last update date	
User name	ReachLU
Case Number	094940756530715210
Invitation Ref.	
Status	N